Interpolation & Polynomial Approximation

Hermite Interpolation I

Numerical Analysis (9th Edition) R L Burden & J D Faires

> Beamer Presentation Slides prepared by John Carroll Dublin City University

© 2011 Brooks/Cole, Cengage Learning









Numerical Analysis (Chapter 3)





3 Example: Constructing the Hermite Polynomial using Lagrange Polynomials

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回

Outline



2) The Precise Form of the Hermite Polynomials

Example: Constructing the Hermite Polynomial using Lagrange Polynomials

Osculating Polynomials

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Osculating Polynomials

 Osculating polynomials generalize both the Taylor polynomials and the Lagrange polynomials.

Osculating Polynomials

- Osculating polynomials generalize both the Taylor polynomials and the Lagrange polynomials.
- Suppose that we are given n + 1 distinct numbers x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n in [a, b] and nonnegative integers m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_n , and $m = \max\{m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$.

Osculating Polynomials

- Osculating polynomials generalize both the Taylor polynomials and the Lagrange polynomials.
- Suppose that we are given n + 1 distinct numbers x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n in [a, b] and nonnegative integers m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_n , and $m = \max\{m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$.
- The osculating polynomial approximating a function *f* ∈ *C^m*[*a*, *b*] at *x_i*, for each *i* = 0,..., *n*, is the polynomial of least degree that has the same values as the function *f* and all its derivatives of order less than or equal to *m_i* at each *x_i*.

Osculating Polynomials (Cont'd)

The degree of this osculating polynomial is at most

$$M=\sum_{i=0}^n m_i+n$$

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

Osculating Polynomials (Cont'd)

The degree of this osculating polynomial is at most

$$M=\sum_{i=0}^n m_i+n$$

because the number of conditions to be satisfied is $\sum_{i=0}^{n} m_i + (n+1)$, and a polynomial of degree *M* has *M* + 1 coefficients that can be used to satisfy these conditions.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Definition: Osculating Polynomial

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 3)

(4) (5) (4) (5)

Definition: Osculating Polynomial

• Let $x_0, x_1, ..., x_n$ be n + 1 distinct numbers in [a, b] and let m_i be a nonnegative integer for i = 0, 1, ..., n.

4 A N

Definition: Osculating Polynomial

- Let x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n be n + 1 distinct numbers in [a, b] and let m_i be a nonnegative integer for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$.
- Suppose that $f \in C^m[a, b]$, where $m = \max_{0 \le i \le n} m_i$.

.

Definition: Osculating Polynomial

- Let x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n be n + 1 distinct numbers in [a, b] and let m_i be a nonnegative integer for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$.
- Suppose that $f \in C^m[a, b]$, where $m = \max_{0 \le i \le n} m_i$.
- The osculating polynomial approximating *f* is the polynomial *P*(*x*) of least degree such that

$$\frac{d^k P(x_i)}{dx^k} = \frac{d^k f(x_i)}{dx^k}$$

for each i = 0, 1, ..., n and $k = 0, 1, ..., m_i$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

Definition: Osculating Polynomial

- Let x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n be n + 1 distinct numbers in [a, b] and let m_i be a nonnegative integer for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$.
- Suppose that $f \in C^m[a, b]$, where $m = \max_{0 \le i \le n} m_i$.
- The osculating polynomial approximating *f* is the polynomial *P*(*x*) of least degree such that

$$\frac{d^k P(x_i)}{dx^k} = \frac{d^k f(x_i)}{dx^k}$$

for each i = 0, 1, ..., n and $k = 0, 1, ..., m_i$.

The osculating polynomial approximating *f* is the m_0 th Taylor polynomial for *f* at x_0 when n = 0 and the *n*th Lagrange polynomial interpolating *f* on x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n when $m_i = 0$ for each *i*.

Osculating Polynomials

$$\frac{d^k P(x_i)}{dx^k} = \frac{d^k f(x_i)}{dx^k}$$

for each i = 0, 1, ..., n and $k = 0, 1, ..., m_i$.

Hermite Polynomials

Osculating Polynomials

$$\frac{d^k P(x_i)}{dx^k} = \frac{d^k f(x_i)}{dx^k}$$

for each i = 0, 1, ..., n and $k = 0, 1, ..., m_i$.

Hermite Polynomials

The case when m_i = 1, for each i = 0, 1, ..., n, gives the Hermite polynomials.

Osculating Polynomials

$$\frac{d^k P(x_i)}{dx^k} = \frac{d^k f(x_i)}{dx^k}$$

for each i = 0, 1, ..., n and $k = 0, 1, ..., m_i$.

Hermite Polynomials

- The case when m_i = 1, for each i = 0, 1, ..., n, gives the Hermite polynomials.
- For a given function f, these polynomials agree with f at x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n .

Osculating Polynomials

$$\frac{d^k P(x_i)}{dx^k} = \frac{d^k f(x_i)}{dx^k}$$

for each i = 0, 1, ..., n and $k = 0, 1, ..., m_i$.

Hermite Polynomials

- The case when m_i = 1, for each i = 0, 1, ..., n, gives the Hermite polynomials.
- For a given function f, these polynomials agree with f at x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n .
- In addition, since their first derivatives agree with those of *f*, they have the same "shape" as the function at (*x_i*, *f*(*x_i*)) in the sense that the tangent lines to the polynomial and the function agree.





3 Example: Constructing the Hermite Polynomial using Lagrange Polynomials

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 3)

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Theorem

If $f \in C^1[a, b]$ and $x_0, \ldots, x_n \in [a, b]$ are distinct, the unique polynomial of least degree agreeing with f and f' at x_0, \ldots, x_n is the Hermite polynomial of degree at most 2n + 1 given by

$$H_{2n+1}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} f(x_j) H_{n,j}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n} f'(x_j) \hat{H}_{n,j}(x)$$

where, for $L_{n,j}(x)$ denoting the *j*th Lagrange coefficient polynomial of degree *n*, we have

$$H_{n,j}(x) = [1 - 2(x - x_j)L'_{n,j}(x_j)]L^2_{n,j}(x)$$
 and $\hat{H}_{n,j}(x) = (x - x_j)L^2_{n,j}(x)$

Continued on the next slide ...

$$H_{2n+1}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} f(x_j) H_{n,j}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n} f'(x_j) \hat{H}_{n,j}(x)$$

Theorem (Cont'd)

Moreover, if $f \in C^{2n+2}[a, b]$, then

$$f(x) = H_{2n+1}(x) + \frac{(x-x_0)^2 \dots (x-x_n)^2}{(2n+2)!} f^{(2n+2)}(\xi(x))$$

for some (generally unknown) $\xi(x)$ in the interval (a, b).

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 3)

Hermite Interpolation I

R L Burden & J D Faires 10

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

10/23

Proof (1/4)

First recall that

$$L_{n,j}(x_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1, & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Proof (1/4)

First recall that

$$L_{n,j}(x_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1, & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

Hence when $i \neq j$,

 $H_{n,j}(x_i) = 0$ and $\hat{H}_{n,j}(x_i) = 0$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 3)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Proof (1/4)

First recall that

$$L_{n,j}(x_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1, & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

Hence when $i \neq j$,

$$H_{n,j}(x_i) = 0$$
 and $\hat{H}_{n,j}(x_i) = 0$

whereas, for each i,

$$\begin{array}{lll} H_{n,i}(x_i) &=& [1-2(x_i-x_i)L_{n,i}'(x_i)]\cdot 1=1\\ \text{and} & \hat{H}_{n,i}(x_i) &=& (x_i-x_i)\cdot 1^2=0 \end{array}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 3)

11/23

Proof (2/4)

As a consequence

$$H_{2n+1}(x_i) = \sum_{\substack{j=0\\j\neq i}}^n f(x_j) \cdot 0 + f(x_i) \cdot 1 + \sum_{j=0}^n f'(x_j) \cdot 0 = f(x_i)$$

so H_{2n+1} agrees with f at x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n .

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 3)

Proof (2/4)

As a consequence

$$H_{2n+1}(x_i) = \sum_{\substack{j=0\\j\neq i}}^n f(x_j) \cdot 0 + f(x_i) \cdot 1 + \sum_{j=0}^n f'(x_j) \cdot 0 = f(x_i)$$

so H_{2n+1} agrees with f at x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n .

To show the agreement of H'_{2n+1} with f' at the nodes, first note that L_{n,j}(x) is a factor of H'_{n,j}(x), so H'_{n,j}(x_i) = 0 when i ≠ j.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Proof (3/4)

In addition, when i = j we have $L_{n,i}(x_i) = 1$, so

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n,i}'(x_i) &= -2L_{n,i}'(x_i) \cdot L_{n,i}^2(x_i) + [1 - 2(x_i - x_i)L_{n,i}'(x_i)] 2L_{n,i}(x_i)L_{n,i}'(x_i) \\ &= -2L_{n,i}'(x_i) + 2L_{n,i}'(x_i) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $H'_{n,j}(x_i) = 0$ for all *i* and *j*.

э

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Proof (3/4)

In addition, when i = j we have $L_{n,i}(x_i) = 1$, so

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n,i}'(x_i) &= -2L_{n,i}'(x_i) \cdot L_{n,i}^2(x_i) + [1 - 2(x_i - x_i)L_{n,i}'(x_i)] 2L_{n,i}(x_i)L_{n,i}'(x_i) \\ &= -2L_{n,i}'(x_i) + 2L_{n,i}'(x_i) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $H'_{n,j}(x_i) = 0$ for all *i* and *j*. Finally,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}'_{n,j}(x_i) = L^2_{n,j}(x_i) + (x_i - x_j) 2 L_{n,j}(x_i) L'_{n,j}(x_i) = L_{n,j}(x_i) [L_{n,j}(x_i) + 2(x_i - x_j) L'_{n,j}(x_i)]$$

so $\hat{H}'_{n,j}(x_i) = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $\hat{H}'_{n,i}(x_i) = 1$.

э

Proof (4/4)

Combining these facts, we have

$$H'_{2n+1}(x_i) = \sum_{j=0}^n f(x_j) \cdot 0 + \sum_{\substack{j=0\\j\neq i}}^n f'(x_j) \cdot 0 + f'(x_i) \cdot 1 = f'(x_i)$$

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Proof (4/4)

Combining these facts, we have

$$H'_{2n+1}(x_i) = \sum_{j=0}^n f(x_j) \cdot 0 + \sum_{\substack{j=0\\j\neq i}}^n f'(x_j) \cdot 0 + f'(x_i) \cdot 1 = f'(x_i)$$

Therefore, H_{2n+1} agrees with *f* and H'_{2n+1} with *f'* at x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n .

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Outline



2) The Precise Form of the Hermite Polynomials

3 Example: Constructing the Hermite Polynomial using Lagrange Polynomials

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 3)

Constructing the Hermite Polynomial

Example: Constructing $H_5(x)$

Use the Hermite polynomial that agrees with the data listed in the following table to find an approximation to f(1.5).

k	<i>x</i> _{<i>k</i>}	$f(x_k)$	$f'(x_k)$
0	1.3	0.6200860	-0.5220232
1	1.6	0.4554022	-0.5698959
2	1.9	0.2818186	-0.5811571

Constructing the Hermite Polynomial $H_5(x)$

Solution (1/5)

We first compute the Lagrange polynomials and their derivatives.

Constructing the Hermite Polynomial $H_5(x)$

Solution (1/5)

We first compute the Lagrange polynomials and their derivatives. This gives

$$L_{2,0}(x) = \frac{(x-x_1)(x-x_2)}{(x_0-x_1)(x_0-x_2)} = \frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{175}{9}x + \frac{152}{9}x^2$$

Solution (1/5)

We first compute the Lagrange polynomials and their derivatives. This gives

$$L_{2,0}(x) = \frac{(x-x_1)(x-x_2)}{(x_0-x_1)(x_0-x_2)} = \frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{175}{9}x + \frac{152}{9}$$
$$L_{2,0}'(x) = \frac{100}{9}x - \frac{175}{9}$$

Solution (1/5)

We first compute the Lagrange polynomials and their derivatives. This gives

$$L_{2,0}(x) = \frac{(x-x_1)(x-x_2)}{(x_0-x_1)(x_0-x_2)} = \frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{175}{9}x + \frac{152}{9}$$
$$L'_{2,0}(x) = \frac{100}{9}x - \frac{175}{9}$$
$$L_{2,1}(x) = \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_2)}{(x_1-x_0)(x_1-x_2)} = \frac{-100}{9}x^2 + \frac{320}{9}x - \frac{247}{9}$$

Solution (1/5)

We first compute the Lagrange polynomials and their derivatives. This gives

$$L_{2,0}(x) = \frac{(x-x_1)(x-x_2)}{(x_0-x_1)(x_0-x_2)} = \frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{175}{9}x + \frac{152}{9}$$

$$L'_{2,0}(x) = \frac{100}{9}x - \frac{175}{9}$$

$$L_{2,1}(x) = \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_2)}{(x_1-x_0)(x_1-x_2)} = \frac{-100}{9}x^2 + \frac{320}{9}x - \frac{247}{9}$$

$$L'_{2,1}(x) = \frac{-200}{9}x + \frac{320}{9}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 3)

→ ∃ →

4 A N

Solution (2/5)

and, finally

$$L_{2,2} = \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_1)}{(x_2-x_0)(x_2-x_1)} = \frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{145}{9}x + \frac{104}{9}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 3)

Solution (2/5)

and, finally

$$L_{2,2} = \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_1)}{(x_2-x_0)(x_2-x_1)} = \frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{145}{9}x + \frac{104}{9}$$
$$L'_{2,2}(x) = \frac{100}{9}x - \frac{145}{9}$$

Solution (3/5)

The polynomials $H_{2,j}(x)$ and $\hat{H}_{2,j}(x)$ are then

$$H_{2,0}(x) = [1 - 2(x - 1.3)(-5)] \left(\frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{175}{9}x + \frac{152}{9}\right)^2$$

Solution (3/5)

The polynomials $H_{2,j}(x)$ and $\hat{H}_{2,j}(x)$ are then

$$H_{2,0}(x) = [1 - 2(x - 1.3)(-5)] \left(\frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{175}{9}x + \frac{152}{9}\right)^2$$
$$= (10x - 12) \left(\frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{175}{9}x + \frac{152}{9}\right)^2$$

Solution (3/5)

The polynomials $H_{2,j}(x)$ and $\hat{H}_{2,j}(x)$ are then

$$H_{2,0}(x) = [1 - 2(x - 1.3)(-5)] \left(\frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{175}{9}x + \frac{152}{9}\right)^2$$

= $(10x - 12) \left(\frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{175}{9}x + \frac{152}{9}\right)^2$
 $H_{2,1}(x) = 1 \cdot \left(\frac{-100}{9}x^2 + \frac{320}{9}x - \frac{247}{9}\right)^2$

Solution (3/5)

The polynomials $H_{2,j}(x)$ and $\hat{H}_{2,j}(x)$ are then

$$H_{2,0}(x) = [1 - 2(x - 1.3)(-5)] \left(\frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{175}{9}x + \frac{152}{9}\right)^2$$

= $(10x - 12) \left(\frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{175}{9}x + \frac{152}{9}\right)^2$
 $H_{2,1}(x) = 1 \cdot \left(\frac{-100}{9}x^2 + \frac{320}{9}x - \frac{247}{9}\right)^2$
 $H_{2,2}(x) = 10(2 - x) \left(\frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{145}{9}x + \frac{104}{9}\right)^2$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 3)

Solution (4/5)

$$\hat{H}_{2,0}(x) = (x-1.3) \left(\frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{175}{9}x + \frac{152}{9}\right)^2$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 3)

Solution (4/5)

$$\hat{H}_{2,0}(x) = (x - 1.3) \left(\frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{175}{9}x + \frac{152}{9}\right)^2$$
$$\hat{H}_{2,1}(x) = (x - 1.6) \left(\frac{-100}{9}x^2 + \frac{320}{9}x - \frac{247}{9}\right)^2$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 3)

Solution (4/5)

$$\hat{H}_{2,0}(x) = (x - 1.3) \left(\frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{175}{9}x + \frac{152}{9}\right)^2$$
$$\hat{H}_{2,1}(x) = (x - 1.6) \left(\frac{-100}{9}x^2 + \frac{320}{9}x - \frac{247}{9}\right)^2$$
$$\hat{H}_{2,2}(x) = (x - 1.9) \left(\frac{50}{9}x^2 - \frac{145}{9}x + \frac{104}{9}\right)^2$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 3)

20/23

Solution (5/5): and finally ...

Solution (5/5): and finally ...

$$\begin{split} H_5(x) &= 0.6200860 H_{2,0}(x) + 0.4554022 H_{2,1}(x) + 0.2818186 H_{2,2}(x) \\ &\quad -0.5220232 \hat{H}_{2,0}(x) - 0.5698959 \hat{H}_{2,1}(x) \\ &\quad -0.5811571 \hat{H}_{2,2}(x) \end{split}$$

so that

$$\begin{split} H_5(1.5) &= 0.6200860 \left(\frac{4}{27}\right) + 0.4554022 \left(\frac{64}{81}\right) + 0.2818186 \left(\frac{5}{81}\right) \\ &- 0.5220232 \left(\frac{4}{405}\right) - 0.5698959 \left(\frac{-32}{405}\right) \\ &- 0.5811571 \left(\frac{-2}{405}\right) = 0.5118277 \end{split}$$

a result that is accurate to the places listed.

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 3)

Observation

Although the theorem provides a complete description of the Hermite polynomials, it is clear from this example that the need to determine and evaluate the Lagrange polynomials and their derivatives makes the procedure tedious even for small values of n.

Observation

Although the theorem provides a complete description of the Hermite polynomials, it is clear from this example that the need to determine and evaluate the Lagrange polynomials and their derivatives makes the procedure tedious even for small values of *n*.

Remedy

We will turn to an alternative method for generating Hermite approximations that has as its basis the Newton interpolatory divided-difference formula at x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n , that is,

$$P_n(x) = f[x_0] + \sum_{k=1}^n f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k](x - x_0) \cdots (x - x_{k-1})$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Questions?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで