Numerical Differentiation & Integration

Richardson's Extrapolation

Numerical Analysis (9th Edition) R L Burden & J D Faires

Beamer Presentation Slides prepared by John Carroll Dublin City University

© 2011 Brooks/Cole, Cengage Learning

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)





A (10) > A (10) > A (10)







Example: Improving first order approximations

★ ∃ >

< 🗇 🕨





Example: Improving first order approximations



Truncation errors with only even powers of h

4 A N

< ∃ >

Outline



2 Example: Improving first order approximations

3 Truncation errors with only even powers of h

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

When & how can Extrapolation be Applied

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

э

When & how can Extrapolation be Applied

 Richardson's extrapolation is used to generate high-accuracy results while using low-order formulas.

- B - S

When & how can Extrapolation be Applied

- Richardson's extrapolation is used to generate high-accuracy results while using low-order formulas.
- Extrapolation can be applied whenever it is known that an approximation technique has an error term with a predictable form, one that depends on a parameter, usually the step size *h*.

• • • • • • • • • • • •

When & how can Extrapolation be Applied

- Richardson's extrapolation is used to generate high-accuracy results while using low-order formulas.
- Extrapolation can be applied whenever it is known that an approximation technique has an error term with a predictable form, one that depends on a parameter, usually the step size h.
- Suppose that, for each number $h \neq 0$, we have a formula $N_1(h)$ that approximates an unknown constant M, and that the truncation error involved with the approximation has the form

$$M - N_1(h) = K_1 h + K_2 h^2 + K_3 h^3 + \cdots$$

for some collection of (unknown) constants K_1, K_2, K_3, \ldots

$$M - N_1(h) = K_1h + K_2h^2 + K_3h^3 + \cdots$$

When & how can Extrapolation be Applied (Cont'd)

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

→ ∃ →

4 A N

$$M - N_1(h) = K_1h + K_2h^2 + K_3h^3 + \cdots$$

When & how can Extrapolation be Applied (Cont'd)

 The truncation error is O(h), so unless there was a large variation in magnitude among the constants K₁, K₂, K₃,...,

$$M - N_1(0.1) \approx 0.1 K_1, \qquad M - N_1(0.01) \approx 0.01 K_1$$

and, in general, $M - N_1(h) \approx K_1 h$.

$$M - N_1(h) = K_1h + K_2h^2 + K_3h^3 + \cdots$$

When & how can Extrapolation be Applied (Cont'd)

 The truncation error is O(h), so unless there was a large variation in magnitude among the constants K₁, K₂, K₃,...,

$$M - N_1(0.1) \approx 0.1 K_1, \qquad M - N_1(0.01) \approx 0.01 K_1$$

and, in general, $M - N_1(h) \approx K_1 h$.

 The object of extrapolation is to find an easy way to combine these rather inaccurate O(h) approximations in an appropriate way to produce formulas with a higher-order truncation error.

When & how can Extrapolation be Applied (Cont'd)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

When & how can Extrapolation be Applied (Cont'd)

Suppose, for example, we can combine the N₁(h) formulas to produce an O(h²) approximation formula, N₂(h), for M with

$$M-N_2(h)=\hat{K}_2h^2+\hat{K}_3h^3+\cdots$$

for some, again unknown, collection of constants $\hat{K}_2, \hat{K}_3, \ldots$

When & how can Extrapolation be Applied (Cont'd)

 Suppose, for example, we can combine the N₁(h) formulas to produce an O(h²) approximation formula, N₂(h), for M with

$$M-N_2(h)=\hat{K}_2h^2+\hat{K}_3h^3+\cdots$$

for some, again unknown, collection of constants $\hat{K}_2, \hat{K}_3, \ldots$

Then we would have

$$M - N_2(0.1) pprox 0.01 \hat{K}_2, \qquad M - N_2(0.01) pprox 0.0001 \hat{K}_2$$

and so on.

$$M - N_1(h) = K_1 h + K_2 h^2 + K_3 h^3 + \cdots$$

$$M - N_2(h) = \hat{K}_2 h^2 + \hat{K}_3 h^3 + \cdots$$

When & how can Extrapolation be Applied (Cont'd)

A (10) > A (10) > A

$$M - N_1(h) = K_1 h + K_2 h^2 + K_3 h^3 + \cdots$$

$$M - N_2(h) = \hat{K}_2 h^2 + \hat{K}_3 h^3 + \cdots$$

When & how can Extrapolation be Applied (Cont'd)

• If the constants K_1 and \hat{K}_2 are roughly of the same magnitude, then the $N_2(h)$ approximations would be much better than the corresponding $N_1(h)$ approximations.

→ ∃ →

$$M - N_1(h) = K_1 h + K_2 h^2 + K_3 h^3 + \cdots$$

$$M - N_2(h) = \hat{K}_2 h^2 + \hat{K}_3 h^3 + \cdots$$

When & how can Extrapolation be Applied (Cont'd)

- If the constants K_1 and \hat{K}_2 are roughly of the same magnitude, then the $N_2(h)$ approximations would be much better than the corresponding $N_1(h)$ approximations.
- The extrapolation continues by combining the $N_2(h)$ approximations in a manner that produces formulas with $O(h^3)$ truncation error, and so on.

Generating the Extrapolation Formula

< 🗇 🕨

Generating the Extrapolation Formula

 To see specifically how we can generate the extrapolation formulas, consider the O(h) formula for approximating M

$$M = N_1(h) + K_1h + K_2h^2 + K_3h^3 + \cdots$$

Generating the Extrapolation Formula

 To see specifically how we can generate the extrapolation formulas, consider the O(h) formula for approximating M

$$M = N_1(h) + K_1h + K_2h^2 + K_3h^3 + \cdots$$

• The formula is assumed to hold for all positive *h*, so we replace the parameter *h* by half its value.

4 ∃ > 4

Generating the Extrapolation Formula

 To see specifically how we can generate the extrapolation formulas, consider the O(h) formula for approximating M

$$M = N_1(h) + K_1h + K_2h^2 + K_3h^3 + \cdots$$

- The formula is assumed to hold for all positive *h*, so we replace the parameter *h* by half its value.
- Then we have a second O(h) approximation formula

$$M = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + K_1\frac{h}{2} + K_2\frac{h^2}{4} + K_3\frac{h^3}{8} + \cdots$$

→ B → < B</p>

4 A N

$$M = N_1(h) + K_1h + K_2h^2 + K_3h^3 + \cdots$$

$$M = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + K_1\frac{h}{2} + K_2\frac{h^2}{4}$$

Generating the Extrapolation Formula (Cont'd)

$$M = N_1(h) + K_1h + K_2h^2 + K_3h^3 + \cdots$$

$$M = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + K_1\frac{h}{2} + K_2\frac{h^2}{4}$$

Generating the Extrapolation Formula (Cont'd)

Subtracting the first from twice the second eliminates the term involving K_1 and gives

$$M = N_1(h) + K_1h + K_2h^2 + K_3h^3 + \cdots$$

$$M = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + K_1\frac{h}{2} + K_2\frac{h^2}{4}$$

Generating the Extrapolation Formula (Cont'd)

Subtracting the first from twice the second eliminates the term involving K_1 and gives

$$M = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \left[N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_2(h)\right] + K_2\left(\frac{h^2}{2} - h^2\right) \\ + K_3\left(\frac{h^3}{4} - h^3\right) + \cdots$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

$$M = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \left[N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_2(h)\right] + K_2\left(\frac{h^2}{2} - h^2\right) \\ + K_3\left(\frac{h^3}{4} - h^3\right) + \cdots$$

Generating the Extrapolation Formula (Cont'd)

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

Richardson's Extrapolation

R L Burden & J D Faires

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

10/33

$$M = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \left[N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_2(h)\right] + K_2\left(\frac{h^2}{2} - h^2\right) \\ + K_3\left(\frac{h^3}{4} - h^3\right) + \cdots$$

Generating the Extrapolation Formula (Cont'd)

Define

$$N_2(h) = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \left[N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h)\right]$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

10/33

э

$$M = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \left[N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_2(h)\right] + K_2\left(\frac{h^2}{2} - h^2\right) \\ + K_3\left(\frac{h^3}{4} - h^3\right) + \cdots$$

Generating the Extrapolation Formula (Cont'd)

Define

$$N_2(h) = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \left[N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h)\right]$$

• Then the above equation is an $O(h^2)$ approximation formula for *M*:

$$M = N_2(h) - \frac{K_2}{2}h^2 - \frac{3K_3}{4}h^3 - \cdots$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン





Example: Improving first order approximations



Truncation errors with only even powers of h

▲ @ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■

Example: $f(x) = \ln x$

• In an earlier example, we used the forward-difference method

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)}{h} - \frac{h}{2}f''(\xi)$$

with h = 0.1 and h = 0.05 to find approximations to f'(1.8) for $f(x) = \ln(x)$.

Example: $f(x) = \ln x$

• In an earlier example, we used the forward-difference method

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)}{h} - \frac{h}{2}f''(\xi)$$

with h = 0.1 and h = 0.05 to find approximations to f'(1.8) for $f(x) = \ln(x)$.

• Assume that this formula has truncation error *O*(*h*) and use extrapolation on these values to see if this results in a better approximation.

Solution

Using the forward-difference method

$$f'(x_0)\approx \frac{f(x_0+h)-f(x_0)}{h}$$

Solution

Using the forward-difference method

$$f'(x_0)\approx \frac{f(x_0+h)-f(x_0)}{h}$$

we find that

with h = 0.1: $f'(1.8) \approx 0.5406722$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

Richardson's Extrapolation

R L Burden & J D Faires 13 / 33

Solution

Using the forward-difference method

$$f'(x_0) \approx \frac{f(x_0+h) - f(x_0)}{h}$$

we find that

with h = 0.1: $f'(1.8) \approx 0.5406722$ with h = 0.05: $f'(1.8) \approx 0.5479795$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

Solution

Using the forward-difference method

$$f'(x_0)\approx \frac{f(x_0+h)-f(x_0)}{h}$$

we find that

with h = 0.1: $f'(1.8) \approx 0.5406722$ with h = 0.05: $f'(1.8) \approx 0.5479795$

This implies that

 $N_1(0.1) = 0.5406722$ and $N_1(0.05) = 0.5479795$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

Richardson's Extrapolation

R L Burden & J D Faires 13 / 33

$$N_2(h) = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \left[N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h)\right]$$

Solution (Cont'd)

Extrapolating these results gives the new approximation

$$N_2(0.1) = N_1(0.05) + (N_1(0.05) - N_1(0.1))$$

$$N_2(h) = N_1\left(rac{h}{2}
ight) + \left[N_1\left(rac{h}{2}
ight) - N_1(h)
ight]$$

Solution (Cont'd)

Extrapolating these results gives the new approximation

$$N_2(0.1) = N_1(0.05) + (N_1(0.05) - N_1(0.1))$$

= 0.5479795 + (0.5479795 - 0.5406722) = 0.555287

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

Richardson's Extrapolation

$$N_2(h) = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \left[N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h)\right]$$

Solution (Cont'd)

Extrapolating these results gives the new approximation

$$N_2(0.1) = N_1(0.05) + (N_1(0.05) - N_1(0.1))$$

= 0.5479795 + (0.5479795 - 0.5406722) = 0.55528

• The h = 0.1 and h = 0.05 results were found to be accurate to within 1.5×10^{-2} and 7.7×10^{-3} , respectively.

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

$$N_2(h) = N_1\left(rac{h}{2}
ight) + \left[N_1\left(rac{h}{2}
ight) - N_1(h)
ight]$$

Solution (Cont'd)

Extrapolating these results gives the new approximation

$$N_2(0.1) = N_1(0.05) + (N_1(0.05) - N_1(0.1))$$

= 0.5479795 + (0.5479795 - 0.5406722) = 0.55528

- The h = 0.1 and h = 0.05 results were found to be accurate to within 1.5×10^{-2} and 7.7×10^{-3} , respectively.
- Because $f'(1.8) = 1/1.8 = 0.\overline{5}$, the extrapolated value is accurate to within 2.7×10^{-4} .





2 Example: Improving first order approximations



Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

Richardson's Extrapolation

R L Burden & J D Faires 15 / 33

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三

When can be extrapolation applied?

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

Richardson's Extrapolation

R L Burden & J D Faires 16 / 33

→ ∃ →

4 A 1

When can be extrapolation applied?

Extrapolation can be applied whenever the truncation error for a formula has the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} K_j h^{\alpha_j} + O(h^{\alpha_m})$$

for a collection of constants K_j and when $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < \cdots < \alpha_m$.

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

• = • •

Even Powers of h

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

→ ∃ →

< 17 ▶

Even Powers of h

• Many formulas used for extrapolation have truncation errors that contain only even powers of *h*, that is, have the form

$$M = N_1(h) + K_1 h^2 + K_2 h^4 + K_3 h^6 + \cdots$$

< A > < > >

Even Powers of h

• Many formulas used for extrapolation have truncation errors that contain only even powers of *h*, that is, have the form

$$M = N_1(h) + K_1 h^2 + K_2 h^4 + K_3 h^6 + \cdots$$

• The extrapolation is much more effective than when all powers of *h* are present because the averaging process produces results with errors

$$O(h^2), O(h^4), O(h^6), \ldots$$

with essentially no increase in computation, over the results with errors, O(h), $O(h^2)$, $O(h^3)$,

17/33

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

Richardson's Extrapolation

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations

Assume that approximation has the form

$$M = N_1(h) + K_1h^2 + K_2h^4 + K_3h^6 + \cdots$$

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations

Assume that approximation has the form

$$M = N_1(h) + K_1h^2 + K_2h^4 + K_3h^6 + \cdots$$

Replacing *h* with h/2 gives the $O(h^2)$ approximation formula

$$M = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + K_1\frac{h^2}{4} + K_2\frac{h^4}{16} + K_3\frac{h^6}{64} + \cdots$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations

Assume that approximation has the form

$$M = N_1(h) + K_1h^2 + K_2h^4 + K_3h^6 + \cdots$$

Replacing *h* with h/2 gives the $O(h^2)$ approximation formula

$$M = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + K_1\frac{h^2}{4} + K_2\frac{h^4}{16} + K_3\frac{h^6}{64} + \cdots$$

Subtracting the first equation from 4 times the second eliminates the h^2 term,

$$3M = \left[4N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h)\right] + K_2\left(\frac{h^4}{4} - h^4\right) + K_3\left(\frac{h^6}{16} - h^6\right) + \cdots$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

$$3M = \left[4N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h)\right] + K_2\left(\frac{h^4}{4} - h^4\right) + K_3\left(\frac{h^6}{16} - h^6\right) + \cdots$$

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations (Cont'd)

э

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

$$3M = \left[4N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h)\right] + K_2\left(\frac{h^4}{4} - h^4\right) + K_3\left(\frac{h^6}{16} - h^6\right) + \cdots$$

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations (Cont'd)

Dividing this equation by 3 produces an $O(h^4)$ formula

$$M = \frac{1}{3} \left[4N_1 \left(\frac{h}{2} \right) - N_1(h) \right] + \frac{K_2}{3} \left(\frac{h^4}{4} - h^4 \right) + \frac{K_3}{3} \left(\frac{h^6}{16} - h^6 \right) + \cdots$$

э

$$3M = \left[4N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h)\right] + K_2\left(\frac{h^4}{4} - h^4\right) + K_3\left(\frac{h^6}{16} - h^6\right) + \cdots$$

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations (Cont'd)

Dividing this equation by 3 produces an $O(h^4)$ formula

$$M = \frac{1}{3} \left[4N_1 \left(\frac{h}{2} \right) - N_1(h) \right] + \frac{K_2}{3} \left(\frac{h^4}{4} - h^4 \right) + \frac{K_3}{3} \left(\frac{h^6}{16} - h^6 \right) + \cdots$$

Define

$$N_2(h) = \frac{1}{3} \left[4N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h) \right] = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{3} \left[N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h) \right]$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

19/33

э

$$M = \frac{1}{3} \left[4N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h) \right] - K_2 \frac{h^4}{4} - K_3 \frac{5h^6}{16} + \cdots$$
$$N_2(h) = \frac{1}{3} \left[4N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h) \right] = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{3} \left[N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h) \right]$$

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations (Cont'd)

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

$$M = \frac{1}{3} \left[4N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h) \right] - K_2 \frac{h^4}{4} - K_3 \frac{5h^6}{16} + \cdots$$
$$N_2(h) = \frac{1}{3} \left[4N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h) \right] = N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{3} \left[N_1\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_1(h) \right]$$

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations (Cont'd)

With this definition of $N_2(h)$, we obtain the approximation formula with truncation error $O(h^4)$

$$M = N_2(h) - K_2 \frac{h^4}{4} - K_3 \frac{5h^6}{16} + \cdots$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations (Cont'd)

Now replace h in this new $O(h^4)$ formula

$$M = N_2(h) - K_2 \frac{h^4}{4} - K_3 \frac{5h^6}{16} + \cdots$$

with h/2

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations (Cont'd)

Now replace h in this new $O(h^4)$ formula

$$M = N_2(h) - K_2 \frac{h^4}{4} - K_3 \frac{5h^6}{16} + \cdots$$

with h/2 to produce a second $O(h^4)$ formula

$$M = N_2 \left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - K_2 \frac{5h^4}{64} - K_3 \frac{h^6}{1024} - \cdots$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations (Cont'd)

Now replace *h* in this new $O(h^4)$ formula

$$M = N_2(h) - K_2 \frac{h^4}{4} - K_3 \frac{5h^6}{16} + \cdots$$

with h/2 to produce a second $O(h^4)$ formula

$$M = N_2 \left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - K_2 \frac{5h^4}{64} - K_3 \frac{h^6}{1024} - \cdots$$

Subtracting the first equation from 16 times the second eliminates the h^4 term and gives

$$15M = \left[16N_2\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_2(h)\right] + K_3\frac{15h^6}{64} + \cdots$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

$$15M = \left[16N_2\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_2(h)\right] + K_3\frac{15h^6}{64} + \cdots$$

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations (Cont'd)

Dividing this equation by 15

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

э

$$15M = \left[16N_2\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_2(h)\right] + K_3\frac{15h^6}{64} + \cdots$$

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations (Cont'd)

Dividing this equation by 15 produces the new $O(h^6)$ formula

$$M = \frac{1}{15} \left[16N_2 \left(\frac{h}{2} \right) - N_2(h) \right] + K_3 \frac{h^6}{64} + \cdots$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

э

$$15M = \left[16N_2\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - N_2(h)\right] + K_3\frac{15h^6}{64} + \cdots$$

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations (Cont'd)

Dividing this equation by 15 produces the new $O(h^6)$ formula

$$M = \frac{1}{15} \left[16N_2 \left(\frac{h}{2} \right) - N_2(h) \right] + K_3 \frac{h^6}{64} + \cdots$$

We now have the $O(h^6)$ approximation formula

$$N_{3}(h) = \frac{1}{15} \left[16N_{2} \left(\frac{h}{2} \right) - N_{2}(h) \right] = N_{2} \left(\frac{h}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{15} \left[N_{2} \left(\frac{h}{2} \right) - N_{2}(h) \right]$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

22/33

э

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations (Cont'd)

Continuing this procedure gives, for each j = 2, 3, ..., the $O(h^{2j})$ approximation

$$N_j(h) = N_{j-1}\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \frac{N_{j-1}(h/2) - N_{j-1}(h)}{4^{j-1} - 1}$$

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations (Cont'd)

Continuing this procedure gives, for each j = 2, 3, ..., the $O(h^{2j})$ approximation

$$N_j(h) = N_{j-1}\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \frac{N_{j-1}(h/2) - N_{j-1}(h)}{4^{j-1} - 1}$$

The table on the next slide shows the order in which the approximations are generated when

$$M = N_1(h) + K_1h^2 + K_2h^4 + K_3h^6 + \cdots$$

Building $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations (Cont'd)

Continuing this procedure gives, for each j = 2, 3, ..., the $O(h^{2j})$ approximation

$$N_j(h) = N_{j-1}\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \frac{N_{j-1}(h/2) - N_{j-1}(h)}{4^{j-1} - 1}$$

The table on the next slide shows the order in which the approximations are generated when

$$M = N_1(h) + K_1h^2 + K_2h^4 + K_3h^6 + \cdots$$

It is conservatively assumed that the true result is accurate at least to within the agreement of the bottom two results in the diagonal, in this case, to within $|N_3(h) - N_4(h)|$.

$$N_j(h) = N_{j-1}\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \frac{N_{j-1}(h/2) - N_{j-1}(h)}{4^{j-1} - 1}.$$

Tabular Generation of the $O(h^{2j})$ Approximations

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

Example: Centered-difference Formula

Taylor's theorem can be used to show that the centered-difference formula to approximate $f'(x_0)$

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{2h}[f(x_0+h) - f(x_0-h)] - \frac{h^2}{6}f^{(3)}(\xi_1)$$

(where ξ_1 lies between $x_0 - h$ and $x_0 + h$)

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン

Example: Centered-difference Formula

Taylor's theorem can be used to show that the centered-difference formula to approximate $f'(x_0)$

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{2h}[f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 - h)] - \frac{h^2}{6}f^{(3)}(\xi_1)$$

(where ξ_1 lies between $x_0 - h$ and $x_0 + h$) can be expressed with an error formula:

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{2h}[f(x_0+h) - f(x_0-h)] - \frac{h^2}{6}f'''(x_0) - \frac{h^4}{120}f^{(5)}(x_0) - \cdots$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

25/33

Example: Centered-difference Formula

Taylor's theorem can be used to show that the centered-difference formula to approximate $f'(x_0)$

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{2h}[f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 - h)] - \frac{h^2}{6}f^{(3)}(\xi_1)$$

(where ξ_1 lies between $x_0 - h$ and $x_0 + h$) can be expressed with an error formula:

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{2h}[f(x_0+h) - f(x_0-h)] - \frac{h^2}{6}f'''(x_0) - \frac{h^4}{120}f^{(5)}(x_0) - \cdots$$

Find approximations of order $O(h^2)$, $O(h^4)$, and $O(h^6)$ for f'(2.0) when $f(x) = xe^x$ and h = 0.2.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Solution (1 of 6)

The constants K₁ = −f^{'''}(x₀)/6, K₂ = −f⁽⁵⁾(x₀)/120,..., are not likely to be known, but this is not important.

Solution (1 of 6)

- The constants $K_1 = -f'''(x_0)/6$, $K_2 = -f^{(5)}(x_0)/120, \cdots$, are not likely to be known, but this is not important.
- We only need to know that these constants exist in order to apply extrapolation.

A I > A = A A

Solution (1 of 6)

- The constants $K_1 = -f'''(x_0)/6$, $K_2 = -f^{(5)}(x_0)/120, \cdots$, are not likely to be known, but this is not important.
- We only need to know that these constants exist in order to apply extrapolation.
- We have the $O(h^2)$ approximation

$$f'(x_0) = N_1(h) - \frac{h^2}{6}f'''(x_0) - \frac{h^4}{120}f^{(5)}(x_0) - \cdots$$

where

$$N_1(h) = \frac{1}{2h}[f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 - h)]$$

$$N_1(h) = \frac{1}{2h} [f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 - h)]$$

Solution (2 of 6)

$$N_1(h) = \frac{1}{2h}[f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 - h)]$$

Solution (2 of 6)

This gives us the first $O(h^2)$ approximations

$$N_1(0.2) = \frac{1}{0.4}[f(2.2) - f(1.8)] = 2.5(19.855030 - 10.889365)$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

$$N_1(h) = \frac{1}{2h}[f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 - h)]$$

Solution (2 of 6)

This gives us the first $O(h^2)$ approximations

$$N_1(0.2) = \frac{1}{0.4}[f(2.2) - f(1.8)] = 2.5(19.855030 - 10.889365)$$

= 22.414160

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

$$N_1(h) = \frac{1}{2h}[f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 - h)]$$

Solution (2 of 6)

This gives us the first $O(h^2)$ approximations

$$N_{1}(0.2) = \frac{1}{0.4}[f(2.2) - f(1.8)] = 2.5(19.855030 - 10.889365)$$

= 22.414160
$$N_{1}(0.1) = \frac{1}{0.2}[f(2.1) - f(1.9)] = 5(17.148957 - 12.703199)$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

$$N_1(h) = \frac{1}{2h}[f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 - h)]$$

Solution (2 of 6)

This gives us the first $O(h^2)$ approximations

$$N_{1}(0.2) = \frac{1}{0.4}[f(2.2) - f(1.8)] = 2.5(19.855030 - 10.889365)$$

= 22.414160
$$N_{1}(0.1) = \frac{1}{0.2}[f(2.1) - f(1.9)] = 5(17.148957 - 12.703199)$$

= 22.228786

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

$$N_j(h) = N_{j-1}\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \frac{N_{j-1}(h/2) - N_{j-1}(h)}{4^{j-1} - 1}.$$

Solution (3 of 6)

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

$$N_j(h) = N_{j-1}\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \frac{N_{j-1}(h/2) - N_{j-1}(h)}{4^{j-1} - 1}.$$

Solution (3 of 6)

Combining these to produce the first $O(h^4)$ approximation gives

$$N_2(0.2) = N_1(0.1) + \frac{1}{3}(N_1(0.1) - N_1(0.2))$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

R L Burden & J D Faires 28 / 33

$$N_j(h) = N_{j-1}\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \frac{N_{j-1}(h/2) - N_{j-1}(h)}{4^{j-1} - 1}.$$

Solution (3 of 6)

Combining these to produce the first $O(h^4)$ approximation gives

$$N_2(0.2) = N_1(0.1) + \frac{1}{3}(N_1(0.1) - N_1(0.2))$$

= 22.228786 + $\frac{1}{3}(22.228786 - 22.414160) = 22.166995$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

Solution (4 of 6)

To determine an $O(h^6)$ formula, we need another $O(h^4)$ result,

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

э

Solution (4 of 6)

To determine an $O(h^6)$ formula, we need another $O(h^4)$ result, which requires us to find the third $O(h^2)$ approximation:

$$N_1(0.05) = \frac{1}{0.1}[f(2.05) - f(1.95)]$$

э

Solution (4 of 6)

To determine an $O(h^6)$ formula, we need another $O(h^4)$ result, which requires us to find the third $O(h^2)$ approximation:

$$N_1(0.05) = \frac{1}{0.1} [f(2.05) - f(1.95)]$$

= 10(15.924197 - 13.705941) = 22.182564

э

Solution (4 of 6)

To determine an $O(h^6)$ formula, we need another $O(h^4)$ result, which requires us to find the third $O(h^2)$ approximation:

$$N_1(0.05) = \frac{1}{0.1}[f(2.05) - f(1.95)]$$

= 10(15.924197 - 13.705941) = 22.182564

We can now find the $O(h^4)$ approximation

$$N_2(0.1) = N_1(0.05) + \frac{1}{3}(N_1(0.05) - N_1(0.1))$$

Solution (4 of 6)

To determine an $O(h^6)$ formula, we need another $O(h^4)$ result, which requires us to find the third $O(h^2)$ approximation:

$$N_1(0.05) = \frac{1}{0.1}[f(2.05) - f(1.95)]$$

= 10(15.924197 - 13.705941) = 22.182564

We can now find the $O(h^4)$ approximation

$$N_2(0.1) = N_1(0.05) + \frac{1}{3}(N_1(0.05) - N_1(0.1))$$

= 22.182564 + $\frac{1}{3}(22.182564 - 22.228786) = 22.167157$

$$N_j(h) = N_{j-1}\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \frac{N_{j-1}(h/2) - N_{j-1}(h)}{4^{j-1} - 1}.$$

Solution (5 of 6)

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

$$N_{j}(h) = N_{j-1}\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \frac{N_{j-1}(h/2) - N_{j-1}(h)}{4^{j-1} - 1}.$$

Solution (5 of 6)

Finally, we can compute the $O(h^6)$ approximation

$$N_3(0.2) = N_2(0.1) + \frac{1}{15}(N_2(0.1) - N_1(0.2))$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

$$N_j(h) = N_{j-1}\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \frac{N_{j-1}(h/2) - N_{j-1}(h)}{4^{j-1} - 1}.$$

Solution (5 of 6)

Finally, we can compute the $O(h^6)$ approximation

$$N_3(0.2) = N_2(0.1) + \frac{1}{15}(N_2(0.1) - N_1(0.2))$$

= 22.167157 + $\frac{1}{15}(22.167157 - 22.166995) = 22.167168$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

Solution (6 of 6)

• We would expect the final approximation to be accurate to at least the value 22.167 because the $N_2(0.2)$ and $N_3(0.2)$ give this same value.

→ ∃ →

- T

Solution (6 of 6)

- We would expect the final approximation to be accurate to at least the value 22.167 because the $N_2(0.2)$ and $N_3(0.2)$ give this same value.
- In fact, $N_3(0.2)$ is accurate to all the listed digits.

- **→ → →**

Ensuring accuracy

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 4)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Ensuring accuracy

• Each column beyond the first in the extrapolation table is obtained by a simple averaging process, so the technique can produce high-order approximations with minimal computational cost.

4 A N

Ensuring accuracy

- Each column beyond the first in the extrapolation table is obtained by a simple averaging process, so the technique can produce high-order approximations with minimal computational cost.
- However, as k increases, the round-off error in N₁(h/2^k) will generally increase because the instability of numerical differentiation is related to the step size h/2^k.

Ensuring accuracy

- Each column beyond the first in the extrapolation table is obtained by a simple averaging process, so the technique can produce high-order approximations with minimal computational cost.
- However, as k increases, the round-off error in N₁(h/2^k) will generally increase because the instability of numerical differentiation is related to the step size h/2^k.
- Also, the higher-order formulas depend increasingly on the entry to their immediate left in the table, which is the reason we recommend comparing the final diagonal entries to ensure accuracy.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Questions?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで